UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,173	12/04/2003	Douglas Andrew Levin	DUC-002	6696
51414 GOODWIN PR	7590 01/23/200 COCTER LLP	EXAMINER		
PATENT ADM	IINISTRATOR	HEWITT II, CALVIN L		
53 STATE STR EXCHANGE P		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	02109-2881	3685		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PatentBos@goodwinprocter.com hmcpeake@goodwinprocter.com glenn.williams@goodwinprocter.com

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/728,	173	LEVIN ET AL.		
		Examin	er	Art Unit		
		CALVIN	L. HEWITT II	3685		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress	
A SHOI WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO pr - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Mons of time may be available under the provisions K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum storeply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months apatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no on nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATIOn event, however, may a reply be to will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the polication to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ T 3)□ S	esponsive to communication(s) file his action is FINAL . ince this application is in condition losed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pr		e merits is	
Dispositio	n of Claims					
5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C 8)□ C	claim(s) 2-5 and 28 is/are pending in a) Of the above claim(s) is/acceptain(s) is/acceptain(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) 2-5 and 28 is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) are subject to restrict and acceptance.	re withdrawn from c				
Applicatio	n Papers					
10)□ TI A R	ne specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are pplicant may not request that any objected to oath or declaration is objected to	a) ☐ accepted or lection to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C		
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Io(s)/Mail Date 2/28/08,4/23/08,5/15/08,5/1	·	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Oate		



Application No.

Art Unit: 3685

Status of Claims

1. Claims 2-5 and 28 have been examined.

Response to Arguments/Amendments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-5 and 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-5 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted step(s) are:

- receiving a request message comprising a license identifier
- distributing the protectable content and the license identifier
 Claim 5 is also rejected as it recites similar language.

Art Unit: 3685

Claims 3, 4, and 28 are also rejected as each depends from claim 2.

Claim 28 recites "transmitting a reply message concerning the authenticity of the license." However, claim 2, from which claim 28 depends, is directed to determining the authenticity of the license identifier, therefore it is unclear to one of ordinary skill how the license is authenticated when only the identifier is authenticated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 2, 4, 5 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Tardo et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,557,105.

As per claims 2, 4, 5 and 28, Tardo et al. teach a method comprising:

Art Unit: 3685

 accessing a license profile associated with the protectable content, the license profile identifying attributes affecting at least one operation of the protectable content (column 6, lines 30-38; column 7, lines 22-40)

- accessing a content identifier representing the protectable content,
 wherein the protectable content corresponds to at least one of a
 software element, multimedia presentation, a video segment, an audio
 segment, a textual representation, a work of art, a visual
 representation, a technological, know-how, a business know-how, and
 a contract right- associated with a license (column 5, lines 3-14 and
 25-40; column 6, lines 30-40)
- computing a license identifier based, at least in part, on the accessed license profile and the accessed content identifier, the computed license identifier comprising information concerning the license associated with the protectable content (signed encrypted license token 122) (column 5, lines 50-67)
- storing the license identifier (column 5, lines 45-56)
- transmitting the license identifier over a network; (column 7, lines 55 60)
- receiving a request message over a network to authenticate the license identifier (column 7, lines 40-45)

Application/Control Number: 10/728,173

Art Unit: 3685

 comparing the received license identifier with a corresponding stored license identifier to authenticate the license identifier (column/line 7/64-8/48)

Page 5

transmitting a reply message concerning the authenticity of the license

Regarding the license identifier, it has been held that "[l]anguage that suggest or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation" (MPEP 2106 II C), therefore what the license is intended for (i.e. for subsequent distribution with the protectable content) will not distinguish the claims from the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-5 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tardo et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,557,105.

Art Unit: 3685

As per claims 2, 4, 5 and 28, Tardo et al. teach a method comprising:

 accessing a license profile associated with the protectable content, the license profile identifying attributes affecting at least one operation of the protectable content (column 6, lines 30-38; column 7, lines 22-40)

- accessing a content identifier representing the protectable content,
 wherein the protectable content corresponds to at least one of a
 software element, multimedia presentation, a video segment, an audio
 segment, a textual representation, a work of art, a visual
 representation, a technological, know-how, a business know-how, and
 a contract right- associated with a license (column 5, lines 3-14 and
 25-40; column 6, lines 30-40)
- computing a license identifier based, at least in part, on the accessed license profile and the accessed content identifier, the computed license identifier comprising information concerning the license associated with the protectable content (signed encrypted license token 122) (column 5, lines 50-67)
- storing the license identifier (column 5, lines 45-56)
- transmitting the license identifier over a network; (column 7, lines 55-60)
- receiving a request message over a network to authenticate the license identifier (column 7, lines 40-45)

Art Unit: 3685

 comparing the received license identifier with a corresponding stored license identifier to authenticate the license identifier (column/line 7/64-8/48)

• transmitting a reply message concerning the authenticity of the license Regarding the license identifier, it has been held that "[I]anguage that suggest or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation" (MPEP 2106 II C), therefore what the license is intended for (i.e. for subsequent distribution with the protectable content) will not distinguish the claims from the prior art.

As per claim 3, Tardo et al. is directed to enabling software options (column 4, lines 14-53) therefore, as open-source software is also software a predictable result (KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (U.S. 2007)) would have been to apply the teachings of Tardo et al. to open source software (e.g. JVM, JAVA).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection
 presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,173

Art Unit: 3685

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 8

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6709. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

Art Unit: 3685

http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to thePrivate PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Calvin L Hewitt II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3685